US DISTRICT COURT E.D. N.Y

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK			*	OCT 0 1 2012	*
	10rk	X :		NG ISLAND OFFIC ket No.: 09-03905	E
	Plaintiff,	:	(LD	W)(ETB)	
-against-		:	ECF	Case	
JOSEPH UVINO, et al.	Defendants,	:	_	epochoj	NED
-and-		:	JUD	GMENT AND ORI	DEK
BARROWS, et al.		: :			
Additional Defendants on the Counterclaims.		: : X			
JOSEPH UVINO, WENDY UVINO, WOOD & COLORS, INC. and POOLS BY PAUL GUILLO, INC.,		X : :			
- against -	Third-Party Plaintiffs,	:			
BARROWS, et al.		:			
	Third-Party Defendants.				
JOSEPH UVINO and WENDY UVINO,					
	Third-Party Plaintiffs,	:			
- against -		: :			
KAEHLER MOORE ARCHITECTS, et al.		: :			
	Third-Party Defendants.	: : -X			
		~ ~			

WHEREAS, this Court, having presided over the trial of the within action, and based upon all evidence received into the record, and based upon all prior proceedings, pleadings

and motions herein, the jury having rendered a verdict on March 16, 2012 (i) finding in favor of Joseph Uvino and Wendy Uvino (collectively, the "Uvinos") as against J. Barrows, Inc. ("JBI") in the amount of \$317,840.00 in general damages, \$83,788.00 in consequential damages, and \$51,231.04 in damages for breach of its fiduciary duties, (ii) finding in favor of the Uvinos as against Kaehler Moore Architects ("KMA") in the amount of \$63,191.00 in general damages, (iii) finding in favor of JBI as against the Uvinos in the amount of \$47,233.00, (iv) finding that KMA did not prove its breach of contract claim against the Uvinos, and (v) finding that the Uvinos did not prove their breach of contract claim against Hampton Contracting Inc. ("Hampton"), it is hereby

\$317,840.00 in general damages, \$83,788.00 in consequential damages, and \$51,231.04 in damages for breach of its fiduciary duties, plus pre-judgment interest on each of the foregoing pursuant to the Court's July 25th Order from March 16, 2012, the date on which the verdict was entered, to present at New York State's statutory rate of 9%, amounting to \$\frac{21,551.13}{2}\$ as of September \$\frac{21}{2}\$, 2012, for a total amount of \$\frac{174410.17}{2}\$ as of September \$\frac{21}{2}\$, 2012, and post-judgment interest from the date of entry of the within judgment until such date that the total award of damages is paid pursuant to 28 U.S.C. \circ 1961.

FURTHER ORDERED AND ADJUDGED that the Uvinos recover from KMA the amount of \$63,191.00 in general damages, plus pre-judgment interest pursuant to the Court's July 25th Order from March 16, 2012, the date on which the verdict was entered, to present at New York State's statutory rate of 9%, amounting to \$______ as of September _______, 2012, for a ________ total amount of \$______ as of September ________, 2012, and post-judgment interest from the date of

entry of the within judgment until such date that the total award of damages is paid pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1961.

Uvinos the amount of \$47,233.00, plus pre-judgment interest pursuant to the Court's July 25th Order from March 16, 2012, the date on which the verdict was entered, to present at New York State's statutory rate of 9%, amounting to \$277,77 as of September \$2,2012, for a total amount of \$49,480.77 as of September \$2,2012, and post-judgment interest from the date of entry of the within judgment until such date that the total award of damages is paid pursuant to 28 U.S.C. \$ 1961, which amounts having been previously bonded by the Uvinos and recovery of which shall be made solely against said bond.

FURTHER ORDERED AND ADJUDGED that KMA did not prove its breach of contract claim against the Uvinos.

FURTHER ORDERED AND ADJUDGED that the Uvinos did not prove their breach of contract claim against Hampton.

WHEREAS, having received argument at trial of the within matter with respect to motions to dispuss, and based upon all evidence received into the record at the trial of the within action, and based upon all prior proceedings, pleadings and motions herein, and the Court having issued a bench decision on March 14, 2012 dismissing all causes of action alleged in the within action by the Uvinos as against John Barrows individually, dismissing the Uvinos' third and fifth claims as against JBI and dismissing the Uvinos' second, third and fourth claims as against KMA, it is hereby

ORDERED AND ADJUDGED that all claims alleged by the Uvinos as against John Barrows individually are hereby dismissed with prejudice.

FURTHER ORDERED AND ADJUDGED that the Uvinos' third and fifth

claims as against JBI are hereby dismissed with prejudice.

FURTHER ORDERED AND ADJUDGED that the Uvinos' second, third and

fourth claims as against KMA are hereby dismissed with prejudice.

WHEREAS, this Court, having received no appearance at trial of the within

matter by Third Party Defendant Valdespino Custom Builders ("Valdespino"), and based upon

all prior proceedings, pleadings and motions herein, and all parties having had full and fair

opportunity to present their claims before the court, it is hereby

ORDERED AND ADJUDGED that Valdespino has defaulted on its claims as

asserted by and against the Uvinos and, as such, all claims alleged by Valdespino asserted

against the Uvinos are hereby dismissed with prejudice and the Uvinos have judgment on all

claims asserted by them against Valdespino.

ORDERED AND ADJUDGED that the parties are to submit their application

for costs and fees to the Clerk of the Court within 21 days of entry of the within Order.

SO ORDERED:

Dated: Central Islip, New York

September 3912

October 1,2012

The Honorable Leonard D. Wexler **Senior United States District Judge**

4